Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Teng, S. T., Fuess, H. & Bats, J. W. (1984). Acta Cryst. C40, 1785-1787.

Acta Cryst. (1999). C55, 1784-1785

# {[µ-Bis(salicylidene)-1,3-propanediaminato]copper(II)}dibromomercury(II)

F. Betül Kaynak,<sup>a</sup> Dinçer Ülkü,<sup>a</sup> Orhan Atakol<sup>b</sup> and Sefa Durmuş<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Engineering Physics, Hacettepe University, Beytepe 06532, Ankara, Turkey, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Ankara University, Tandoğan 06100, Ankara, Turkey. E-mail: gulsen@lidya.cc.hun.edu.tr

(Received 15 February 1999; accepted 5 July 1999)

# Abstract

In the title complex, dibromo- $2\kappa^2 Br$ - $\mu$ -{2,2'-[1,3-propanediylbis(nitrilomethylidyne)]diphenolato}- $1\kappa^4 N$ ,-N', O,  $O': 2\kappa^2 O$ , O'-copper(II)mercury(II), [Cu(C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>16</sub>-N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>){HgBr<sub>2</sub>}], the Cu<sup>II</sup> and Hg<sup>II</sup> atoms are doubly bridged by the two O atoms of the N, N'-bis(salicyl-idene)-1,3-propanediaminate (SALPD<sup>2-</sup>) ligand, and the two N atoms of this ligand complete the distorted square-planar coordination around the Cu<sup>II</sup> atom. The coordination around the Hg<sup>II</sup> atom is distorted tetrahedral, involving two bridging O atoms and two Br atoms. The bond angles in the HgCuO<sub>2</sub> bridging plane are in the range 61.4 (1)–108.0 (2)°. The Cu···Hg distance is 3.523 (3) Å.

# Comment

The title complex, (I), was synthesized in the process of extending our structural studies on doubly oxygen-bridged dimeric homonuclear metal complexes to heteronuclear complexes (Ülkü *et al.*, 1998, and references therein).



As can be seen from Fig. 1, the distorted squareplanar coordination around the Cu<sup>II</sup> atom involves two O and two N atoms from the N, N'-bis(salicylidene)-1,3propanediaminate (SALPD<sup>2-</sup>) ligand. The Cu<sup>II</sup> atom is located -0.0261 (7)° from the best plane of the coor-

dinating O1, O2, N2 and N1 atoms. The bond angles within the coordination plane around the Cu<sup>II</sup> atom range from 82.0 (2) (O1—Cu—O2) to 170.7 (2)° (O1—Cu—N2). In the bridging plane, the two Cu—O distances [1.919 (4) and 1.921 (3) Å] are equal within experimental error and compare well with values reported previously (Baker *et al.*, 1970; Ülkü *et al.*, 1997; Tahir *et al.*, 1996), but the Hg—O bond lengths [2.418 (4) (Hg—O1) and 2.519 (3) Å (Hg—O2)] are slightly different. The Cu···Hg distance is 3.523 (3) Å.



Fig. 1. ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) drawing of the title compound with the atomic numbering scheme. The displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms are shown as small circles of arbitrary radii.

The coordination around the Hg<sup>II</sup> atom is distorted tetrahedral consisting of two bridging O atoms and two Br atoms. The smallest bond angle of the distorted tetrahedron around the Hg<sup>II</sup> atom is  $61.4 (1)^{\circ} (O1-Hg-O2)$  and the largest bond angle is  $152.25 (3)^{\circ} (Br1-Hg-Br2)$ . The dihedral angle between the O1/Hg/O2 and Br1/Hg/Br2 moieties is  $87.2 (1)^{\circ}$ . The distances of the *para*-positioned Cu and C9 atoms of the Cu/N1/C8/C9/C10/N2 chelate ring from the plane of the other four atoms are 0.185 (1) and 0.648 (7) Å, respectively. In the Cu/N2/C11/C12/C17/O2 chelate ring, the Cu<sup>II</sup> atom has the greatest deviation of 0.0695 (7) Å from the best plane, while the greatest deviation from the best plane of the Cu/N1/C7/C6/C1/O1 chelate ring is for the O1 atom [0.178 (4) Å].

### Experimental

Ammonia solution (20 ml) was added to a solution of N, N'bis(salicylidene)-1,3-propanediamine (2.82 g, 10 mmol) in hot ethanol (30 ml) and the mixture was heated. A solution of CuCl<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O (1.70 g, 10 mmol) in hot water (30 ml) was added and the resulting mixture was set aside. After 2 h, the resulting copper complex, *i.e.* [Cu(C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>)], was filtered off and dried. This complex (0.343 g, 1mmol) was dissolved in hot dioxane (50 ml) and a solution of HgBr<sub>2</sub> (0.360 g, 1 mmol) in MeOH (20 ml) was added. Brown crystals suitable for X-ray single-crystal diffraction analysis were obtained after 24 h.

Crystal data

 $[CuHgBr_2(C_{17}H_{16}N_2O_2)]$ Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $M_r = 704.277$  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Triclinic Cell parameters from 25  $P\overline{1}$ reflections a = 9.0081 (10) Å $\theta = 9 - 18^{\circ}$  $\mu = 13.7 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ b = 9.5235 (10) Åc = 11.9340(13) Å T = 295 K $\alpha = 97.054 (9)^{\circ}$ Prismatic  $\beta = 105.340(9)^{\circ}$  $0.125 \times 0.100 \times 0.075 \text{ mm}$  $\gamma = 106.471 (9)^{\circ}$ Brown  $V = 924.90(19) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 2 $D_x = 2.529 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$  $D_m$  not measured Data collection Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 2713 reflections with diffractometer  $F > 3\sigma(F)$  $R_{\rm int} = 0.012$ 

 $\omega/2\theta$  scans  $\theta_{\rm max} = 25.9^{\circ}$ Absorption correction: empirical via  $\psi$  scans  $h=-11\rightarrow 10$  $k = -11 \rightarrow 11$ (Fair, 1990)  $T_{\rm min} = 0.222, T_{\rm max} = 0.358$  $l = 0 \rightarrow 14$ 3547 measured reflections 3 standard reflections 3370 independent reflections

#### Refinement

Refinement on F  $w = 1/\sigma^2(F)$ R = 0.024 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.817 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ wR = 0.032 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.247 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ S = 1.142713 reflections Extinction correction: none 226 parameters Scattering factors from Inter-H-atom parameters national Tables for X-ray constrained Crystallography (Vol. IV)

frequency: 120 min intensity decay: 1%

## Table 1. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

	-		
Cu···Hg	3.523 (3)	Cu—O1	1.921 (3)
Hg-Brl	2.4489 (8)	Cu—O2	1.919 (4)
Hg—Br2	2.4579 (8)	Cu—N1	1.991 (5)
Hg—O1	2.418 (4)	Cu—N2	1.957 (4)
Hg-O2	2.519 (3)		
Br1—Hg—Br2	152.25 (3)	O1—Cu—N1	90.7 (2)
Br1-Hg-Ol	107.9(1)	O1—Cu—N2	170.7 (2)
Br1-Hg-O2	103.8(1)	O2—Cu—N1	167.8 (2)
Br2—Hg—OI	99.4 (1)	O2—Cu—N2	91.7 (2)
Br2—Hg—O2	93.5(1)	N1—Cu—N2	96.5 (2)
01-Hg-O2	61.4 (1)	Hg—O1—Cu	108.0 (2)
O1-Cu-O2	82.0(2)	Hg-O2-Cu	104.3 (1)

All H atoms were placed geometrically 0.95 Å from their parent atoms. H-atom displacement parameters were fixed as  $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.3 U_{\rm eq}({\rm parent})$  and a riding model was used for all H atoms.

Data collection: CAD-4 EXPRESS (Enraf-Nonius, 1993). Data reduction: MolEN (Fair, 1990). Program(s) used to solve structure: MolEN. Program(s) used to refine structure: MolEN. Molecular graphics: MolEN version of ORTEP (Johnson,

1965). Software used to prepare material for publication: MolEN.

The authors wish to acknowledge the purchase of the CAD-4 diffractometer under grant DPT/TBAG1 of the Scientific and Technical Research Council of Turkey.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: OS1060). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

### References

- Baker, E. N., Hall, D. & Waters, T. N. (1970). J. Chem. Soc. pp. 400-405, 406-409.
- Enraf-Nonius (1993). CAD-4 EXPRESS. Version 1.1. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Fair, C. K. (1990). MolEN. An Interactive Intelligent System for Crystal Structure Analysis. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands. Johnson, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge
- National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA. Tahir, M. N., Ülkü, D., Atakol, O. & Akay, A. (1996). Acta Cryst.
- C52, 2676-2678. Ülkü, D., Arıcı, C., Tahir, M. N., Atakol, O. & Taştekin, M. (1998). Anal. Sci. 14, 455-456.
- Ülkü, D., Ercan, F., Atakol, O., Ercan, I. & Gencer, A. (1997). Acta Cryst. C53, 179-181.

Acta Cryst. (1999). C55, 1785-1789

# $[\text{ReO}_2(\text{dppp})_2]I_x[\text{ReO}_4]_{1-x} \cdot xH_2O \cdot CH_3OH$ for x = 0.17(1), 0.36(1) and 1 [dppp is 1,3-bis(diphenylphosphino)propane]

LEOPOLDO SUESCUN,<sup>a</sup> ALVARO W. MOMBRÚ,<sup>a</sup> RAÚL A. MARIEZCURRENA,<sup>a</sup> CARLOS KREMER,<sup>b</sup> MARIO RIVERO,<sup>b</sup> Eduardo Kremer.<sup>b</sup> Sixto Domínguez<sup>c</sup> and Alfredo MEDEROS<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Laboratorio de Cristalografía y Química del Estado Sólido, Facultad de Química, Universidad de la República, Montevideo, Uruguay, <sup>b</sup>Cátedra de Química Inorgánica, Facultad de Química, Universidad de la República, Montevideo, Uruguay, and <sup>c</sup>Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Universidad de La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain. E-mail: amombru@bilbo.edu.uy

(Received 22 December 1998; accepted 25 June 1999)

## Abstract

The crystal structures of  $[\text{ReO}_2(\text{dppp})_2]I_x[\text{ReO}_4]_{1-x}$ .  $xH_2O \cdot CH_3OH$ , where x = 0.17(1), 0.36(1) and 1, *i.e.* dioxo[propane-1,2-div]bis(dipheny]phosphine)-PP']rhenium iodide perrhenate hydrate methanol solvate, with iodide partially substituted by the perrhenate ion,